NOTICE: This report is required by 49 CFR Part 191. Failure to report can result in a civil penalty not to exceed 100,000 for each violation for each day that such violation persists except that the maximum civil penalty shall not exceed \$1,000,000 as provided in 49 USC 60122.		OMB NO: 2137-0522 EXPIRATION DATE: 02/28/2014
<u> </u>	Original Report Date:	11/21/2014
U.S Department of Transportation	No.	20140126 - 16552
Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration		(DOT Hop Only)

INCIDENT REPORT - GAS TRANSMISSION AND GATHERING PIPELINE SYSTEMS

A federal agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, nor shall a person be subject to a penalty for failure to comply with a collection of information subject to the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act unless that collection of information displays a current valid OMB Control Number. The OMB Control Number for this information collection is 2137-0522. Public reporting for this collection of information is estimated to be approximately 10 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, gathering the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. All responses to this collection of information are mandatory. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to: Information Collection Clearance Officer, PHMSA, Office of Pipeline Safety (PHP-30) 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE, Washington, D.C. 20590.

INSTRUCTIONS

Important: Please read the separate instructions for completing this form before you begin. They clarify the information requested and provide specific examples. If you do not have a copy of the instructions, you can obtain one from the PHMSA Pipeline Safety Community Web Page at http://www.phmsa.dot.gov/pipeline.

D (T () () () ()	Original:	Supplemental:	Final:
Report Type: (select all that apply)	Yes		Yes
Last Revision Date:	'		
Operator's OPS-issued Operator Identification Number (OPID):	15007		
2. Name of Operator	PACIFIC GAS & EL	ECTRIC CO	
3. Address of Operator:			
3a. Street Address	77 BEALE STREE	Т	
3b. City	SAN FRANCISCO		
3c. State	California		
3d. Zip Code:	94107		
4. Local time (24-hr clock) and date of the Incident:	10/24/2014 07:28		
5. Location of Incident:			
Latitude:	35.244867		
Longitude:	-119.04878		
6. National Response Center Report Number (if applicable):	1099198		
7. Local time (24-hr clock) and date of initial telephonic report to the National Response Center (if applicable):	10/24/2014 00:00		
8. Incident resulted from:	Unintentional relea	se of gas	
Gas released: (select only one, based on predominant volume released)	Natural Gas		
- Other Gas Released Name:			
10. Estimated volume of commodity released unintentionally - Thousand Cubic Feet (MCF):	92,000.00		
11. Estimated volume of intentional and controlled release/blowdown - Thousand Cubic Feet (MCF)	10,000.00		
12. Estimated volume of accompanying liquid release (Barrels):			
13. Were there fatalities?	No		
- If Yes, specify the number in each category:	110		
13a. Operator employees			
13b. Contractor employees working for the Operator			
13c. Non-Operator emergency responders			
13d. Workers working on the right-of-way, but NOT			
associated with this Operator			
13e. General public			
13f. Total fatalities (sum of above)			
14. Were there injuries requiring inpatient hospitalization?	No		
- If Yes, specify the number in each category:	•		
14a. Operator employees			
14b. Contractor employees working for the Operator			
14c. Non-Operator emergency responders			
14d. Workers working on the right-of-way, but NOT associated with this Operator			
14e. General public			
14f. Total injuries (sum of above)			

[- 14	Lv.
15. Was the pipeline/facility shut down due to the incident?	Yes
- If No, Explain:	
- If Yes, complete Questions 15a and 15b: (use local time, 24-hr clock	
15a. Local time and date of shutdown 15b. Local time pipeline/facility restarted	10/24/2014 11:51 10/26/2014 11:00
- Still shut down? (* Supplemental Report Required)	10/20/2014 11.00
16. Did the gas ignite?	No
17. Did the gas explode?	No
Number of general public evacuated:	110
19. Time sequence (use local time, 24-hour clock):	I
19a. Local time operator identified Incident	10/24/2014 07:28
19b. Local time operator resources arrived on site	10/24/2014 07:57
PART B - ADDITIONAL LOCATION INFORMATION	
1. Was the origin of the Incident onshore?	Yes
- Yes (Complete Ques	
- No (Complete Quest	ions 13-15)
If Onshore:	
2. State:	California
3. Zip Code:	93313
4. City	Bakersfield
5. County or Parish	Kern
6. Operator designated location	Milepost/Valve Station MP 271.55
7. Pipeline/Facility name:	L-300A
Segment name/ID:	L-300A
Segment name/ib. Was Incident on Federal land, other than the Outer Continental Shelf	
(OCS)?	No
10. Location of Incident:	Pipeline Right-of-way
11. Area of Incident (as found) :	Underground
Specify:	Under soil
Other – Describe:	
Depth-of-Cover (in):	54
12. Did Incident occur in a crossing?	No
- If Yes, specify type below:	
- If Bridge crossing –	
Cased/ Uncased:	
- If Railroad crossing –	
Cased/ Uncased/ Bored/drilled	
- If Road crossing –	
Cased/ Uncased/ Bored/drilled	
- If Water crossing –	
Cased/ Uncased	
Name of body of water (If commonly known):	
Approx. water depth (ft) at the point of the Incident:	
Select:	
If Offshore:	
13. Approx. water depth (ft) at the point of the Incident:	
14. Origin of Incident:	
- If "In State waters":	
- State: - Area:	
- Area: - Block/Tract #:	
- Block/ Hact # Nearest County/Parish:	
- If "On the Outer Continental Shelf (OCS)":	<u>L</u>
- Area:	
- Block #:	
15. Area of Incident:	
PART C - ADDITIONAL FACILITY INFORMATION	
Is the pipeline or facility: - Interstate - Intrastate	Interstate
Part of system involved in Incident:	Onshore Pipeline, Including Valve Sites
Item involved in Incident:	Pipe
- If Pipe – Specify:	Pipe Body
3a. Nominal diameter of pipe (in):	34
3b. Wall thickness (in):	.375

3c. SMYS (Specified Minimum Yield Strength) of pipe (psi):	52,000
3d. Pipe specification:	API 5L X-52
3e. Pipe Seam – Specify:	DSAW
- If Other, Describe:	
3f. Pipe manufacturer:	Consolidated Western Steel
3g. Year of manufacture:	1954
_	
3h. Pipeline coating type at point of Incident – Specify:	Asphalt
- If Other, Describe:	
 If Weld, including heat-affected zone – Specify: 	
- If Other, Describe:	
- If Valve – Specify:	
' '	
- If Mainline – Specify:	
- If Other, Describe:	
3i. Mainline valve manufacturer:	
3j. Year of manufacture:	
- If Other, Describe:	
4. Year item involved in Incident was installed:	1954
Material involved in Incident: 5. Material involved in Incident:	Carbon Steel
	Carbon Steel
If Material other than Steel or Plastic – Specify:	
Type of Incident involved:	Mechanical Puncture
- If Mechanical Puncture – Specify Approx. size:	
Approx. size: in. (in axial) by	8.00
in. (circumferential)	18.00
- If Leak - Select Type:	10.00
71	
- If Other – Describe:	
- If Rupture - Select Orientation:	
- If Other – Describe:	
Approx. size: in. (widest opening):	
by in. (length circumferentially or axially):	
- If Other – Describe:	
Class Location of Incident:	Class 1 Leastion
2. Did this Incident occur in a High Consequence Area (HCA)?	Class 1 Location No
Did this Incident occur in a High Consequence Area (HCA)? If Yes:	
Did this Incident occur in a High Consequence Area (HCA)? If Yes: 2a. Specify the Method used to identify the HCA:	
Did this Incident occur in a High Consequence Area (HCA)? If Yes:	
Did this Incident occur in a High Consequence Area (HCA)? - If Yes: 2a. Specify the Method used to identify the HCA: 3. What is the PIR (Potential Impact Radius) for the location of this Incident? Feet: 4. Were any structures outside the PIR impacted or otherwise damaged due to heat/fire resulting from the Incident?	No
Did this Incident occur in a High Consequence Area (HCA)? - If Yes: 2a. Specify the Method used to identify the HCA: 3. What is the PIR (Potential Impact Radius) for the location of this Incident?	No 646
2. Did this Incident occur in a High Consequence Area (HCA)? - If Yes: 2a. Specify the Method used to identify the HCA: 3. What is the PIR (Potential Impact Radius) for the location of this Incident? Feet: 4. Were any structures outside the PIR impacted or otherwise damaged due to heat/fire resulting from the Incident? 5. Were any structures outside the PIR impacted or otherwise damaged NOT by heat/fire resulting from the Incident? 6. Were any of the fatalities or injuries reported for persons located	No 646 No No
2. Did this Incident occur in a High Consequence Area (HCA)? - If Yes: 2a. Specify the Method used to identify the HCA: 3. What is the PIR (Potential Impact Radius) for the location of this Incident? Feet: 4. Were any structures outside the PIR impacted or otherwise damaged due to heat/fire resulting from the Incident? 5. Were any structures outside the PIR impacted or otherwise damaged NOT by heat/fire resulting from the Incident? 6. Were any of the fatalities or injuries reported for persons located outside the PIR?	No 646 No
2. Did this Incident occur in a High Consequence Area (HCA)? - If Yes: 2a. Specify the Method used to identify the HCA: 3. What is the PIR (Potential Impact Radius) for the location of this Incident? Feet: 4. Were any structures outside the PIR impacted or otherwise damaged due to heat/fire resulting from the Incident? 5. Were any structures outside the PIR impacted or otherwise damaged NOT by heat/fire resulting from the Incident? 6. Were any of the fatalities or injuries reported for persons located	No 646 No No
2. Did this Incident occur in a High Consequence Area (HCA)? - If Yes: 2a. Specify the Method used to identify the HCA: 3. What is the PIR (Potential Impact Radius) for the location of this Incident? Feet: 4. Were any structures outside the PIR impacted or otherwise damaged due to heat/fire resulting from the Incident? 5. Were any structures outside the PIR impacted or otherwise damaged NOT by heat/fire resulting from the Incident? 6. Were any of the fatalities or injuries reported for persons located outside the PIR? 7. Estimated Property Damage: 7a. Estimated cost of public and non-Operator private property damage	No 646 No No No S O
2. Did this Incident occur in a High Consequence Area (HCA)? - If Yes: 2a. Specify the Method used to identify the HCA: 3. What is the PIR (Potential Impact Radius) for the location of this Incident? Feet: 4. Were any structures outside the PIR impacted or otherwise damaged due to heat/fire resulting from the Incident? 5. Were any structures outside the PIR impacted or otherwise damaged NOT by heat/fire resulting from the Incident? 6. Were any of the fatalities or injuries reported for persons located outside the PIR? 7. Estimated Property Damage: 7a. Estimated cost of public and non-Operator private	No 646 No No No
2. Did this Incident occur in a High Consequence Area (HCA)? - If Yes: 2a. Specify the Method used to identify the HCA: 3. What is the PIR (Potential Impact Radius) for the location of this Incident? Feet: 4. Were any structures outside the PIR impacted or otherwise damaged due to heat/fire resulting from the Incident? 5. Were any structures outside the PIR impacted or otherwise damaged NOT by heat/fire resulting from the Incident? 6. Were any of the fatalities or injuries reported for persons located outside the PIR? 7. Estimated Property Damage: 7a. Estimated cost of public and non-Operator private property damage 7b. Estimated cost of Operator's property damage & repairs	No 646 No No No S O
2. Did this Incident occur in a High Consequence Area (HCA)? - If Yes: 2a. Specify the Method used to identify the HCA: 3. What is the PIR (Potential Impact Radius) for the location of this Incident? Feet: 4. Were any structures outside the PIR impacted or otherwise damaged due to heat/fire resulting from the Incident? 5. Were any structures outside the PIR impacted or otherwise damaged NOT by heat/fire resulting from the Incident? 6. Were any of the fatalities or injuries reported for persons located outside the PIR? 7. Estimated Property Damage: 7a. Estimated cost of public and non-Operator private property damage 7b. Estimated cost of Operator's property damage & repairs 7c. Estimated cost of Operator's emergency response	No 646 No No No \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$
Did this Incident occur in a High Consequence Area (HCA)? If Yes: 2a. Specify the Method used to identify the HCA: 3. What is the PIR (Potential Impact Radius) for the location of this Incident? Feet: 4. Were any structures outside the PIR impacted or otherwise damaged due to heat/fire resulting from the Incident? 5. Were any structures outside the PIR impacted or otherwise damaged NOT by heat/fire resulting from the Incident? 6. Were any of the fatalities or injuries reported for persons located outside the PIR? 7. Estimated Property Damage: 7a. Estimated Cost of public and non-Operator private property damage 7b. Estimated cost of Operator's property damage & repairs 7c. Estimated cost of Operator's emergency response 7d. Estimated other costs	No 646 No No No \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$
Did this Incident occur in a High Consequence Area (HCA)? If Yes: 2a. Specify the Method used to identify the HCA: 3. What is the PIR (Potential Impact Radius) for the location of this Incident? Feet: 4. Were any structures outside the PIR impacted or otherwise damaged due to heat/fire resulting from the Incident? 5. Were any structures outside the PIR impacted or otherwise damaged NOT by heat/fire resulting from the Incident? 6. Were any of the fatalities or injuries reported for persons located outside the PIR? 7. Estimated Property Damage: 7a. Estimated cost of public and non-Operator private property damage 7b. Estimated cost of Operator's property damage & repairs 7c. Estimated cost of Operator's emergency response 7d. Estimated other costs Describe:	No 646 No No No S \$ 0 \$ 520,000 \$ 0 \$ 0
Did this Incident occur in a High Consequence Area (HCA)? If Yes: 2a. Specify the Method used to identify the HCA: 3. What is the PIR (Potential Impact Radius) for the location of this Incident? Feet: 4. Were any structures outside the PIR impacted or otherwise damaged due to heat/fire resulting from the Incident? 5. Were any structures outside the PIR impacted or otherwise damaged NOT by heat/fire resulting from the Incident? 6. Were any of the fatalities or injuries reported for persons located outside the PIR? 7. Estimated Property Damage: 7a. Estimated Cost of public and non-Operator private property damage 7b. Estimated cost of Operator's property damage & repairs 7c. Estimated cost of Operator's emergency response 7d. Estimated other costs	No 646 No No No \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$
Did this Incident occur in a High Consequence Area (HCA)? If Yes: 2a. Specify the Method used to identify the HCA: 3. What is the PIR (Potential Impact Radius) for the location of this Incident? Feet: 4. Were any structures outside the PIR impacted or otherwise damaged due to heat/fire resulting from the Incident? 5. Were any structures outside the PIR impacted or otherwise damaged NOT by heat/fire resulting from the Incident? 6. Were any of the fatalities or injuries reported for persons located outside the PIR? 7. Estimated Property Damage: 7a. Estimated cost of public and non-Operator private property damage 7b. Estimated cost of Operator's property damage & repairs 7c. Estimated cost of Operator's emergency response 7d. Estimated other costs Describe:	No 646 No No No S \$ 0 \$ 520,000 \$ 0 \$ 0
2. Did this Incident occur in a High Consequence Area (HCA)? - If Yes: 2a. Specify the Method used to identify the HCA: 3. What is the PIR (Potential Impact Radius) for the location of this Incident? Feet: 4. Were any structures outside the PIR impacted or otherwise damaged due to heat/fire resulting from the Incident? 5. Were any structures outside the PIR impacted or otherwise damaged NOT by heat/fire resulting from the Incident? 6. Were any of the fatalities or injuries reported for persons located outside the PIR? 7. Estimated Property Damage: 7a. Estimated Property Damage: 7b. Estimated cost of public and non-Operator private property damage 7b. Estimated cost of Operator's property damage & repairs 7c. Estimated cost of Operator's emergency response 7d. Estimated other costs Describe: 7e. Total estimated property damage (sum of above)	No 646 No No No \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$
2. Did this Incident occur in a High Consequence Area (HCA)? - If Yes: 2a. Specify the Method used to identify the HCA: 3. What is the PIR (Potential Impact Radius) for the location of this Incident? Feet: 4. Were any structures outside the PIR impacted or otherwise damaged due to heat/fire resulting from the Incident? 5. Were any structures outside the PIR impacted or otherwise damaged NOT by heat/fire resulting from the Incident? 6. Were any of the fatalities or injuries reported for persons located outside the PIR? 7. Estimated Property Damage: 7a. Estimated Property Damage: 7b. Estimated cost of Operator's property damage & repairs 7c. Estimated cost of Operator's emergency response 7d. Estimated other costs Describe: 7e. Total estimated property damage (sum of above) Cost of Gas Released 7f. Estimated cost of gas released unintentionally	No 646 No No No \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$
2. Did this Incident occur in a High Consequence Area (HCA)? - If Yes: 2a. Specify the Method used to identify the HCA: 3. What is the PIR (Potential Impact Radius) for the location of this Incident? Feet: 4. Were any structures outside the PIR impacted or otherwise damaged due to heat/fire resulting from the Incident? 5. Were any structures outside the PIR impacted or otherwise damaged NOT by heat/fire resulting from the Incident? 6. Were any of the fatalities or injuries reported for persons located outside the PIR? 7. Estimated Property Damage: 7a. Estimated Property Damage: 7b. Estimated cost of Operator's property damage & repairs 7c. Estimated cost of Operator's emergency response 7d. Estimated other costs Describe: 7e. Total estimated property damage (sum of above) Cost of Gas Released 7f. Estimated cost of gas released unintentionally 7g. Estimated cost of gas released during intentional and	No 646 No No No \$ \$ 0 \$ 520,000 \$ 0 \$ \$ 520,000
2. Did this Incident occur in a High Consequence Area (HCA)? - If Yes: 2a. Specify the Method used to identify the HCA: 3. What is the PIR (Potential Impact Radius) for the location of this Incident? Feet: 4. Were any structures outside the PIR impacted or otherwise damaged due to heat/fire resulting from the Incident? 5. Were any structures outside the PIR impacted or otherwise damaged NOT by heat/fire resulting from the Incident? 6. Were any of the fatalities or injuries reported for persons located outside the PIR? 7. Estimated Property Damage: 7a. Estimated Property Damage: 7b. Estimated cost of public and non-Operator private property damage 7b. Estimated cost of Operator's property damage & repairs 7c. Estimated cost of Operator's emergency response 7d. Estimated other costs Describe: 7e. Total estimated property damage (sum of above) Cost of Gas Released 7f. Estimated cost of gas released unintentionally 7g. Estimated cost of gas released during intentional and controlled blowdown	No 646 No No No \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$
2. Did this Incident occur in a High Consequence Area (HCA)? - If Yes: 2a. Specify the Method used to identify the HCA: 3. What is the PIR (Potential Impact Radius) for the location of this Incident? Feet: 4. Were any structures outside the PIR impacted or otherwise damaged due to heat/fire resulting from the Incident? 5. Were any structures outside the PIR impacted or otherwise damaged NOT by heat/fire resulting from the Incident? 6. Were any of the fatalities or injuries reported for persons located outside the PIR? 7. Estimated Property Damage: 7a. Estimated Property Damage: 7b. Estimated cost of Operator's property damage & repairs 7c. Estimated cost of Operator's emergency response 7d. Estimated other costs Describe: 7e. Total estimated property damage (sum of above) Cost of Gas Released 7f. Estimated cost of gas released unintentionally 7g. Estimated cost of gas released during intentional and	No 646 No No No \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$
2. Did this Incident occur in a High Consequence Area (HCA)? - If Yes: 2a. Specify the Method used to identify the HCA: 3. What is the PIR (Potential Impact Radius) for the location of this Incident? Feet: 4. Were any structures outside the PIR impacted or otherwise damaged due to heat/fire resulting from the Incident? 5. Were any structures outside the PIR impacted or otherwise damaged NOT by heat/fire resulting from the Incident? 6. Were any of the fatalities or injuries reported for persons located outside the PIR? 7. Estimated Property Damage: 7a. Estimated Property Damage: 7b. Estimated cost of public and non-Operator private property damage 7b. Estimated cost of Operator's property damage & repairs 7c. Estimated cost of Operator's emergency response 7d. Estimated other costs Describe: 7e. Total estimated property damage (sum of above) Cost of Gas Released 7f. Estimated cost of gas released unintentionally 7g. Estimated cost of gas released during intentional and controlled blowdown	No 646 No No No \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$
2. Did this Incident occur in a High Consequence Area (HCA)? - If Yes: 2a. Specify the Method used to identify the HCA: 3. What is the PIR (Potential Impact Radius) for the location of this Incident? Feet: 4. Were any structures outside the PIR impacted or otherwise damaged due to heat/fire resulting from the Incident? 5. Were any structures outside the PIR impacted or otherwise damaged NOT by heat/fire resulting from the Incident? 6. Were any of the fatalities or injuries reported for persons located outside the PIR? 7. Estimated Property Damage: 7a. Estimated cost of public and non-Operator private property damage 7b. Estimated cost of Operator's property damage & repairs 7c. Estimated cost of Operator's emergency response 7d. Estimated other costs Describe: 7e. Total estimated property damage (sum of above) Cost of Gas Released 7f. Estimated cost of gas released unintentionally 7g. Estimated cost of gas released during intentional and controlled blowdown 7h. Total estimated cost of gas released (sum of 7.f & 7.g above)	No 646 No No No \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$
2. Did this Incident occur in a High Consequence Area (HCA)? - If Yes: 2a. Specify the Method used to identify the HCA: 3. What is the PIR (Potential Impact Radius) for the location of this Incident? Feet: 4. Were any structures outside the PIR impacted or otherwise damaged due to heat/fire resulting from the Incident? 5. Were any structures outside the PIR impacted or otherwise damaged NOT by heat/fire resulting from the Incident? 6. Were any of the fatalities or injuries reported for persons located outside the PIR? 7. Estimated Property Damage: 7a. Estimated Property Damage: 7b. Estimated cost of Operator's property damage & repairs 7c. Estimated cost of Operator's emergency response 7d. Estimated other costs Describe: 7e. Total estimated property damage (sum of above) Cost of Gas Released 7f. Estimated cost of gas released unintentionally 7g. Estimated cost of gas released during intentional and controlled blowdown 7h. Total estimated cost of gas released (sum of 7.f & 7.g above) PART E - ADDITIONAL OPERATING INFORMATION	No
2. Did this Incident occur in a High Consequence Area (HCA)? - If Yes: 2a. Specify the Method used to identify the HCA: 3. What is the PIR (Potential Impact Radius) for the location of this Incident? Feet: 4. Were any structures outside the PIR impacted or otherwise damaged due to heat/fire resulting from the Incident? 5. Were any structures outside the PIR impacted or otherwise damaged NOT by heat/fire resulting from the Incident? 6. Were any of the fatalities or injuries reported for persons located outside the PIR? 7. Estimated Property Damage: 7a. Estimated cost of public and non-Operator private property damage 7b. Estimated cost of Operator's property damage & repairs 7c. Estimated cost of Operator's emergency response 7d. Estimated other costs Describe: 7e. Total estimated property damage (sum of above) Cost of Gas Released 7f. Estimated cost of gas released unintentionally 7g. Estimated cost of gas released during intentional and controlled blowdown 7h. Total estimated cost of gas released (sum of 7.f & 7.g above) PART E - ADDITIONAL OPERATING INFORMATION 1. Estimated pressure at the point and time of the Incident (psig): 2. Maximum Allowable Operating Pressure (MAOP) at the point and	No 646 No No No \$ 0 \$ 520,000 \$ 0 \$ 520,000 \$ 414,000 \$ 45,000 \$ 459,000
2. Did this Incident occur in a High Consequence Area (HCA)? - If Yes: 2a. Specify the Method used to identify the HCA: 3. What is the PIR (Potential Impact Radius) for the location of this Incident? Feet: 4. Were any structures outside the PIR impacted or otherwise damaged due to heat/fire resulting from the Incident? 5. Were any structures outside the PIR impacted or otherwise damaged NOT by heat/fire resulting from the Incident? 6. Were any of the fatalities or injuries reported for persons located outside the PIR? 7. Estimated Property Damage: 7a. Estimated Property Damage: 7b. Estimated cost of public and non-Operator private property damage 7b. Estimated cost of Operator's property damage & repairs 7c. Estimated cost of Operator's emergency response 7d. Estimated other costs Describe: 7e. Total estimated property damage (sum of above) Cost of Gas Released 7f. Estimated cost of gas released unintentionally 7g. Estimated cost of gas released during intentional and controlled blowdown 7h. Total estimated cost of gas released (sum of 7.f & 7.g above) PART E - ADDITIONAL OPERATING INFORMATION 1. Estimated pressure at the point and time of the Incident (psig):_	No

D . :	
-Details:	
Describe the pressure on the system or facility relating to the Incident:	Pressure did not exceed MAOP
Not including pressure reductions required by PHMSA regulations	
(such as for repairs and pipe movement), was the system or facility	
relating to the Incident operating under an established pressure	No
restriction with pressure limits below those normally allowed by the	
MAOP?	
- If Yes - (Complete 4a and 4b below)	
4a. Did the pressure exceed this established pressure	
restriction?	
4b. Was this pressure restriction mandated by PHMSA or the	
State?	
5. Was "Onshore Pipeline, Including Valve Sites" OR "Offshore Pipeline,	
	Yes
Including Riser and Riser Bend" selected in PART C, Question 2?	
- If Yes - (Complete 5a. – 5e. below):	
5a. Type of upstream valve used to initially isolate release source:	Manual
5b. Type of downstream valve used to initially isolate release	
source:	Manual
5c. Length of segment isolated between valves (ft):	42,240
	42,240
5d. Is the pipeline configured to accommodate internal inspection	Yes
tools?	
- If No – Which physical features limit tool accommodation? (select all the	nat apply)
- Changes in line pipe diameter	
- Presence of unsuitable mainline valves	
- Tight or mitered pipe bends	
- Other passage restrictions (i.e. unbarred tee's, projecting	
instrumentation, etc.)	
- Extra thick pipe wall (applicable only for magnetic flux	
leakage internal inspection tools)	
- Other	
- If Other, Describe:	
5e. For this pipeline, are there operational factors which	
significantly complicate the execution of an internal inspection tool	No
run?	
- If Yes, which operational factors complicate execution? (select all that	apply)
- Excessive debris or scale, wax, or other wall build-up	
- Low operating pressure(s)	
- Low operating pressure(s) - Low flow or absence of flow	
- Incompatible commodity	
- Other	
- If Other, Describe:	
5f. Function of pipeline system:	Transmission System
6. Was a Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA)-based	
system in place on the pipeline or facility involved in the Incident?	Yes
- If Yes:	
	Voc
6a. Was it operating at the time of the Incident?	Yes
6b. Was it fully functional at the time of the Incident?	Yes
6c. Did SCADA-based information (such as alarm(s), alert(s),	
event(s), and/or volume or pack calculations) assist with the	Yes
detection of the Incident?	
6d. Did SCADA-based information (such as alarm(s), alert(s),	
event(s), and/or volume calculations) assist with the confirmation of	Yes
the Incident?	
	SCADA-based information (such as alarm(s), alert(s),
7. How was the Incident initially identified for the Operator?	event(s), and/or volume or pack calculations)
MORE P. 9	overnies, and or volume or pack calculations,
- If Other – Describe:	
7a. If "Controller", "Local Operating Personnel, including	
contractors", "Air Patrol", or "Ground Patrol by Operator or its	
contractor" is selected in Question 7, specify the following:	
	No, the Operator did not find that an investigation of the
8. Was an investigation initiated into whether or not the controller(s) or	controller(s) actions or control room issues was necessary
control room issues were the cause of or a contributing factor to the	due to: (provide an explanation for why the Operator did not
Incident?	investigate)
- If No, the operator did not find that an investigation of the	in roongato)
- If No, the operator did not find that an investigation of the	third party dia in
controller(s) actions or control room issues was necessary due to:	third party dig in
(provide an explanation for why the operator did not investigate)	
 If Yes, Describe investigation result(s) (select all that apply): 	

 Investigation reviewed work schedule rotations, continuous 	
hours of service (while working for the operator), and other	
factors associated with fatigue	
 Investigation did NOT review work schedule rotations, continuous hours of service (while working for the Operator) 	
and other factors associated with fatigue	
- Provide an explanation for why not:	
Investigation identified no control room issues	
Investigation identified no controller issues	
Investigation identified incorrect controller action or	
controller error	
- Investigation identified that fatigue may have affected the	
controller(s) involved or impacted the involved controller(s)	
response - Investigation identified incorrect procedures	
Investigation identified incorrect procedures Investigation identified incorrect control room equipment	
operation	
- Investigation identified maintenance activities that affected	
control room operations, procedures, and/or controller	
response	
 Investigation identified areas other than those above – 	
Describe:	
PART F - DRUG & ALCOHOL TESTING INFORMATION	
As a result of this Incident, were any Operator employees tested	
under the post-accident drug and alcohol testing requirements of DOT's	No
Drug & Alcohol Testing regulations? - If Yes:	
1a. Describe how many were tested:	
1b. Describe how many failed:	
As a result of this Incident, were any Operator contractor employees	
tested under the post-accident drug and alcohol testing requirements of	No
DOT's Drug & Alcohol Testing regulations?	
- If Yes:	
2a. Describe how many were tested:	
Describe how many were tested: Describe how many failed:	
2b. Describe how many failed:	
2b. Describe how many failed: PART G - APPARENT CAUSE Select only one box from PART G in the shaded column on the left representation.	
2b. Describe how many failed: PART G - APPARENT CAUSE Select only one box from PART G in the shaded column on the left repress questions on the right. Describe secondary, contributing, or root causes of Apparent Cause:	the Incident in the narrative (PART H). G3 - Excavation Damage
2b. Describe how many failed: PART G - APPARENT CAUSE Select only one box from PART G in the shaded column on the left represe questions on the right. Describe secondary, contributing, or root causes of	the Incident in the narrative (PART H). G3 - Excavation Damage
2b. Describe how many failed: PART G - APPARENT CAUSE Select only one box from PART G in the shaded column on the left repress questions on the right. Describe secondary, contributing, or root causes of Apparent Cause:	the Incident in the narrative (PART H). G3 - Excavation Damage
2b. Describe how many failed: PART G - APPARENT CAUSE Select only one box from PART G in the shaded column on the left repress questions on the right. Describe secondary, contributing, or root causes of Apparent Cause: G1 - Corrosion Failure - only one sub-cause can be picked from shaded.	the Incident in the narrative (PART H). G3 - Excavation Damage
2b. Describe how many failed: PART G - APPARENT CAUSE Select only one box from PART G in the shaded column on the left represe questions on the right. Describe secondary, contributing, or root causes of Apparent Cause: G1 - Corrosion Failure - only one sub-cause can be picked from shade Corrosion Failure - Sub-cause:	the Incident in the narrative (PART H). G3 - Excavation Damage
2b. Describe how many failed: PART G - APPARENT CAUSE Select only one box from PART G in the shaded column on the left repress questions on the right. Describe secondary, contributing, or root causes of Apparent Cause: G1 - Corrosion Failure - only one sub-cause can be picked from shaded corrosion Failure - Sub-cause: - If External Corrosion:	the Incident in the narrative (PART H). G3 - Excavation Damage
2b. Describe how many failed: PART G - APPARENT CAUSE Select only one box from PART G in the shaded column on the left repress questions on the right. Describe secondary, contributing, or root causes of Apparent Cause: G1 - Corrosion Failure - only one sub-cause can be picked from shaded corrosion Failure - Sub-cause: - If External Corrosion: 1. Results of visual examination: - If Other, Describe: 2. Type of corrosion: (select all that apply)	the Incident in the narrative (PART H). G3 - Excavation Damage
2b. Describe how many failed: PART G - APPARENT CAUSE Select only one box from PART G in the shaded column on the left repress questions on the right. Describe secondary, contributing, or root causes of Apparent Cause: G1 - Corrosion Failure - only one sub-cause can be picked from shaded corrosion Failure - Sub-cause: - If External Corrosion: 1. Results of visual examination: - If Other, Describe: 2. Type of corrosion: (select all that apply) - Galvanic	the Incident in the narrative (PART H). G3 - Excavation Damage
2b. Describe how many failed: PART G - APPARENT CAUSE Select only one box from PART G in the shaded column on the left repress questions on the right. Describe secondary, contributing, or root causes of Apparent Cause: G1 - Corrosion Failure - only one sub-cause can be picked from shaded Corrosion Failure - Sub-cause: - If External Corrosion: 1. Results of visual examination: - If Other, Describe: 2. Type of corrosion: (select all that apply) - Galvanic - Atmospheric	the Incident in the narrative (PART H). G3 - Excavation Damage
2b. Describe how many failed: PART G - APPARENT CAUSE Select only one box from PART G in the shaded column on the left represe questions on the right. Describe secondary, contributing, or root causes of Apparent Cause: G1 - Corrosion Failure - only one sub-cause can be picked from shaded Corrosion Failure - Sub-cause: - If External Corrosion: 1. Results of visual examination: - If Other, Describe: 2. Type of corrosion: (select all that apply) - Galvanic - Atmospheric - Stray Current	the Incident in the narrative (PART H). G3 - Excavation Damage
2b. Describe how many failed: PART G - APPARENT CAUSE Select only one box from PART G in the shaded column on the left represe questions on the right. Describe secondary, contributing, or root causes of Apparent Cause: G1 - Corrosion Failure - only one sub-cause can be picked from shaded Corrosion Failure - Sub-cause: - If External Corrosion: 1. Results of visual examination: - If Other, Describe: 2. Type of corrosion: (select all that apply) - Galvanic - Atmospheric - Stray Current - Microbiological	the Incident in the narrative (PART H). G3 - Excavation Damage
2b. Describe how many failed: PART G - APPARENT CAUSE Select only one box from PART G in the shaded column on the left represe questions on the right. Describe secondary, contributing, or root causes of Apparent Cause: G1 - Corrosion Failure - only one sub-cause can be picked from shaded Corrosion Failure - Sub-cause: - If External Corrosion: 1. Results of visual examination: - If Other, Describe: 2. Type of corrosion: (select all that apply) - Galvanic - Atmospheric - Stray Current - Microbiological - Selective Seam	the Incident in the narrative (PART H). G3 - Excavation Damage
2b. Describe how many failed: PART G - APPARENT CAUSE Select only one box from PART G in the shaded column on the left represe questions on the right. Describe secondary, contributing, or root causes of Apparent Cause: G1 - Corrosion Failure - only one sub-cause can be picked from shaded Corrosion Failure - Sub-cause: - If External Corrosion: 1. Results of visual examination: - If Other, Describe: 2. Type of corrosion: (select all that apply) - Galvanic - Atmospheric - Stray Current - Microbiological - Selective Seam - Other	the Incident in the narrative (PART H). G3 - Excavation Damage
2b. Describe how many failed: PART G - APPARENT CAUSE Select only one box from PART G in the shaded column on the left represe questions on the right. Describe secondary, contributing, or root causes of Apparent Cause: G1 - Corrosion Failure - only one sub-cause can be picked from shaded Corrosion Failure - Sub-cause: - If External Corrosion: 1. Results of visual examination: - If Other, Describe: 2. Type of corrosion: (select all that apply) - Galvanic - Atmospheric - Stray Current - Microbiological - Selective Seam - Other	the İncident in the narrative (PART H). G3 - Excavation Damage ded left-hand column
2b. Describe how many failed: PART G - APPARENT CAUSE Select only one box from PART G in the shaded column on the left represe questions on the right. Describe secondary, contributing, or root causes of Apparent Cause: G1 - Corrosion Failure - only one sub-cause can be picked from shaded Corrosion Failure - Sub-cause: - If External Corrosion: 1. Results of visual examination: - If Other, Describe: 2. Type of corrosion: (select all that apply) - Galvanic - Atmospheric - Stray Current - Microbiological - Selective Seam - Other	the İncident in the narrative (PART H). G3 - Excavation Damage ded left-hand column
2b. Describe how many failed: PART G - APPARENT CAUSE Select only one box from PART G in the shaded column on the left represe questions on the right. Describe secondary, contributing, or root causes of Apparent Cause: G1 - Corrosion Failure - only one sub-cause can be picked from shaded corrosion Failure - Sub-cause: - If External Corrosion: 1. Results of visual examination: - If Other, Describe: 2. Type of corrosion: (select all that apply) - Galvanic - Atmospheric - Stray Current - Microbiological - Selective Seam - Other - If Other - Describe: 3. The type(s) of corrosion selected in Question 2 is based on the following the shaded column on the left represe to the shaded column on the left represe to the shaded column on the left represe to the shaded column on the left represe to the shaded column on the left represe to the shaded column on the left represe to the shaded column on the left represe to the shaded column on the left represe to the shaded column on the left represe to the shaded column on the left representation of the shaded column on th	the İncident in the narrative (PART H). G3 - Excavation Damage ded left-hand column
2b. Describe how many failed: PART G - APPARENT CAUSE Select only one box from PART G in the shaded column on the left represe questions on the right. Describe secondary, contributing, or root causes of Apparent Cause: G1 - Corrosion Failure - only one sub-cause can be picked from shaded Corrosion Failure - Sub-cause: - If External Corrosion: 1. Results of visual examination: - If Other, Describe: 2. Type of corrosion: (select all that apply) - Galvanic - Atmospheric - Stray Current - Microbiological - Selective Seam - Other - If Other - Describe: 3. The type(s) of corrosion selected in Question 2 is based on the following - Field examination	the İncident in the narrative (PART H). G3 - Excavation Damage ded left-hand column
2b. Describe how many failed: PART G - APPARENT CAUSE Select only one box from PART G in the shaded column on the left represe questions on the right. Describe secondary, contributing, or root causes of Apparent Cause: G1 - Corrosion Failure - only one sub-cause can be picked from shaded Corrosion Failure - Sub-cause: - If External Corrosion: 1. Results of visual examination: - If Other, Describe: 2. Type of corrosion: (select all that apply) - Galvanic - Atmospheric - Stray Current - Microbiological - Selective Seam - Other - If Other - Describe: 3. The type(s) of corrosion selected in Question 2 is based on the followin - Field examination - Determined by metallurgical analysis	the İncident in the narrative (PART H). G3 - Excavation Damage ded left-hand column
2b. Describe how many failed: PART G - APPARENT CAUSE Select only one box from PART G in the shaded column on the left repress questions on the right. Describe secondary, contributing, or root causes of Apparent Cause: G1 - Corrosion Failure - only one sub-cause can be picked from shaded corrosion Failure - Sub-cause: - If External Corrosion: 1. Results of visual examination: - If Other, Describe: 2. Type of corrosion: (select all that apply) - Galvanic - Atmospheric - Stray Current - Microbiological - Selective Seam - Other - If Other - Describe: 3. The type(s) of corrosion selected in Question 2 is based on the followin - Field examination - Determined by metallurgical analysis - Other - If Other - Describe: 4. Was the failed item buried under the ground?	the İncident in the narrative (PART H). G3 - Excavation Damage ded left-hand column
2b. Describe how many failed: PART G - APPARENT CAUSE Select only one box from PART G in the shaded column on the left repress questions on the right. Describe secondary, contributing, or root causes of Apparent Cause: G1 - Corrosion Failure - only one sub-cause can be picked from shaded corrosion Failure - Sub-cause: - If External Corrosion: 1. Results of visual examination: - If Other, Describe: 2. Type of corrosion: (select all that apply) - Galvanic - Atmospheric - Stray Current - Microbiological - Selective Seam - Other - If Other - Describe: 3. The type(s) of corrosion selected in Question 2 is based on the followin - Field examination - Determined by metallurgical analysis - Other - If Other - Describe: 4. Was the failed item buried under the ground? - If Yes:	the İncident in the narrative (PART H). G3 - Excavation Damage ded left-hand column
2b. Describe how many failed: PART G - APPARENT CAUSE Select only one box from PART G in the shaded column on the left repress questions on the right. Describe secondary, contributing, or root causes of Apparent Cause: G1 - Corrosion Failure - only one sub-cause can be picked from shaded corrosion Failure - Sub-cause: - If External Corrosion: 1. Results of visual examination: - If Other, Describe: 2. Type of corrosion: (select all that apply) - Galvanic - Atmospheric - Stray Current - Microbiological - Selective Seam - Other - If Other - Describe: 3. The type(s) of corrosion selected in Question 2 is based on the followin - Field examination - Determined by metallurgical analysis - Other - If Other - Describe: 4. Was the failed item buried under the ground?	the İncident in the narrative (PART H). G3 - Excavation Damage ded left-hand column

4b. Was shielding, tenting, or disbonding of coating evident at the	
point of the incident? 4c. Has one or more Cathodic Protection Survey been conducted	
at the point of the incident?	
If "Yes, CP Annual Survey" – Most recent year conducted:	
If "Yes, Close Interval Survey" – Most recent year conducted:	
If "Yes, Other CP Survey" – Most recent year conducted:	
- If No: 4d. Was the failed item externally coated or painted?	
Was the railed from externally coated of painted: Was there observable damage to the coating or paint in the vicinity of	
the corrosion?	
- If Internal Corrosion:	
Results of visual examination: - If Other, Describe:	
7. Cause of corrosion (select all that apply):	
- Corrosive Commodity	
- Water drop-out/Acid	
- Microbiological	
- Erosion	
- Other	
- If Other, Describe: 8. The cause(s) of corrosion selected in Question 7 is based on the follow	ing (select all that anniv):
- Field examination	під (зоюсі ан інаі арріу).
- Determined by metallurgical analysis	
- Other	
- If Other, Describe:	
9. Location of corrosion (select all that apply):	
- Low point in pipe - Elbow	
- Drop-out	
- Other	
- If Other, Describe:	
10. Was the gas/fluid treated with corrosion inhibitors or biocides?	
11. Was the interior coated or lined with protective coating?	
12. Were cleaning/dewatering pigs (or other operations) routinely utilized?	
utilized? 13. Were corrosion coupons routinely utilized?	
utilized? 13. Were corrosion coupons routinely utilized? Complete the following if any Corrosion Failure sub-cause is selected A	AND the "Item Involved in Incident" (from PART C,
utilized? 13. Were corrosion coupons routinely utilized?	AND the "Item Involved in Incident" (from PART C,
utilized? 13. Were corrosion coupons routinely utilized? Complete the following if any Corrosion Failure sub-cause is selected A Question 3) is Pipe or Weld. 14. Has one or more internal inspection tool collected data at the point	AND the "Item Involved in Incident" (from PART C,
utilized? 13. Were corrosion coupons routinely utilized? Complete the following if any Corrosion Failure sub-cause is selected A Question 3) is Pipe or Weld. 14. Has one or more internal inspection tool collected data at the point of the Incident?	
utilized? 13. Were corrosion coupons routinely utilized? Complete the following if any Corrosion Failure sub-cause is selected A Question 3) is Pipe or Weld. 14. Has one or more internal inspection tool collected data at the point of the Incident? 14a. If Yes, for each tool used, select type of internal inspection tool of the Incident?	
utilized? 13. Were corrosion coupons routinely utilized? Complete the following if any Corrosion Failure sub-cause is selected A Question 3) is Pipe or Weld. 14. Has one or more internal inspection tool collected data at the point of the Incident? 14a. If Yes, for each tool used, select type of internal inspection tool - Magnetic Flux Leakage Tool	
utilized? 13. Were corrosion coupons routinely utilized? Complete the following if any Corrosion Failure sub-cause is selected A Question 3) is Pipe or Weld. 14. Has one or more internal inspection tool collected data at the point of the Incident? 14a. If Yes, for each tool used, select type of internal inspection tool - Magnetic Flux Leakage Tool Most recent year run: - Ultrasonic	
utilized? 13. Were corrosion coupons routinely utilized? Complete the following if any Corrosion Failure sub-cause is selected A Question 3) is Pipe or Weld. 14. Has one or more internal inspection tool collected data at the point of the Incident? 14a. If Yes, for each tool used, select type of internal inspection tool - Magnetic Flux Leakage Tool Most recent year run: - Ultrasonic Most recent year run:	
utilized? 13. Were corrosion coupons routinely utilized? Complete the following if any Corrosion Failure sub-cause is selected A Question 3) is Pipe or Weld. 14. Has one or more internal inspection tool collected data at the point of the Incident? 14a. If Yes, for each tool used, select type of internal inspection tool - Magnetic Flux Leakage Tool Most recent year run: - Ultrasonic Most recent year run: - Geometry	
utilized? 13. Were corrosion coupons routinely utilized? Complete the following if any Corrosion Failure sub-cause is selected A Question 3) is Pipe or Weld. 14. Has one or more internal inspection tool collected data at the point of the Incident? 14a. If Yes, for each tool used, select type of internal inspection tool - Magnetic Flux Leakage Tool Most recent year run: - Ultrasonic Most recent year run: - Geometry Most recent year run:	
utilized? 13. Were corrosion coupons routinely utilized? Complete the following if any Corrosion Failure sub-cause is selected A Question 3) is Pipe or Weld. 14. Has one or more internal inspection tool collected data at the point of the Incident? 14a. If Yes, for each tool used, select type of internal inspection tool - Magnetic Flux Leakage Tool Most recent year run: - Ultrasonic Most recent year run: - Geometry Most recent year run: - Caliper	
utilized? 13. Were corrosion coupons routinely utilized? Complete the following if any Corrosion Failure sub-cause is selected A Question 3) is Pipe or Weld. 14. Has one or more internal inspection tool collected data at the point of the Incident? 14a. If Yes, for each tool used, select type of internal inspection tool - Magnetic Flux Leakage Tool Most recent year run: - Ultrasonic Most recent year run: - Geometry Most recent year run:	
utilized? 13. Were corrosion coupons routinely utilized? Complete the following if any Corrosion Failure sub-cause is selected A Question 3) is Pipe or Weld. 14. Has one or more internal inspection tool collected data at the point of the Incident? 14a. If Yes, for each tool used, select type of internal inspection tool - Magnetic Flux Leakage Tool Most recent year run: - Ultrasonic Most recent year run: - Geometry Most recent year run: - Caliper Most recent year run: - Crack Most recent year run:	
utilized? 13. Were corrosion coupons routinely utilized? Complete the following if any Corrosion Failure sub-cause is selected A Question 3) is Pipe or Weld. 14. Has one or more internal inspection tool collected data at the point of the Incident? 14a. If Yes, for each tool used, select type of internal inspection tool and the Incident? Most recent year run: - Ultrasonic Most recent year run: - Geometry Most recent year run: - Caliper Most recent year run: - Crack Most recent year run: - Hard Spot	
utilized? 13. Were corrosion coupons routinely utilized? Complete the following if any Corrosion Failure sub-cause is selected A Question 3) is Pipe or Weld. 14. Has one or more internal inspection tool collected data at the point of the Incident? 14a. If Yes, for each tool used, select type of internal inspection tool and the Incident? 14a. If Yes, for each tool used, select type of internal inspection tool and the Incident? 14a. If Yes, for each tool used, select type of internal inspection tool and the Incident? 14a. If Yes, for each tool used, select type of internal inspection tool and the Incident? 14a. If Yes, for each tool used, select type of internal inspection tool and the Incident? 14a. If Yes, for each tool used, select type of internal inspection tool and the Incident? 14a. If Yes, for each tool used, select type of internal inspection tool and the Incident? 14a. If Yes, for each tool used, select type of internal inspection tool and the Incident? 14a. If Yes, for each tool used, select type of internal inspection tool and the Incident? 14a. If Yes, for each tool used, select type of internal inspection tool and the Incident? 14a. If Yes, for each tool used, select type of internal inspection tool and the Incident? 14a. If Yes, for each tool used, select type of internal inspection tool and the Incident? 14a. If Yes, for each tool used, select type of internal inspection tool and the Incident? 14a. If Yes, for each tool used, select type of internal inspection tool and the Incident? 14a. If Yes, for each tool used, select type of internal inspection tool and the Incident? 14a. If Yes, for each tool used, select type of internal inspection tool and the Incident? 14a. If Yes, for each tool used, select type of internal inspection tool and the Incident? 14a. If Yes, for each tool used, select type of internal inspection tool and the Incident Paint Incident Paint Incident Paint Incident Paint Incident Paint Incident Paint Incident Paint Incident Paint Incident Paint Incident Paint	
utilized? 13. Were corrosion coupons routinely utilized? Complete the following if any Corrosion Failure sub-cause is selected A Question 3) is Pipe or Weld. 14. Has one or more internal inspection tool collected data at the point of the Incident? 14a. If Yes, for each tool used, select type of internal inspection tool and a Most recent year run: - Ultrasonic Most recent year run: - Geometry Most recent year run: - Caliper Most recent year run: - Crack Most recent year run: - Hard Spot Most recent year run: - Combination Tool	
utilized? 13. Were corrosion coupons routinely utilized? Complete the following if any Corrosion Failure sub-cause is selected A Question 3) is Pipe or Weld. 14. Has one or more internal inspection tool collected data at the point of the Incident? 14a. If Yes, for each tool used, select type of internal inspection tool and a Most recent year run: - Ultrasonic Most recent year run: - Geometry Most recent year run: - Caliper Most recent year run: - Crack Most recent year run: - Hard Spot Most recent year run: - Combination Tool Most recent year run:	
utilized? 13. Were corrosion coupons routinely utilized? Complete the following if any Corrosion Failure sub-cause is selected A Question 3) is Pipe or Weld. 14. Has one or more internal inspection tool collected data at the point of the Incident? 14a. If Yes, for each tool used, select type of internal inspection tool and the Incident? 14a. If Yes, for each tool used, select type of internal inspection tool and the Incident? 14a. If Yes, for each tool used, select type of internal inspection tool and the Incident? 14a. If Yes, for each tool used, select type of internal inspection tool and trecent year run: - Ultrasonic Most recent year run: - Caliper Most recent year run: - Crack Most recent year run: - Hard Spot Most recent year run: - Combination Tool Most recent year run: - Transverse Field/Triaxial	
utilized? 13. Were corrosion coupons routinely utilized? Complete the following if any Corrosion Failure sub-cause is selected A Question 3) is Pipe or Weld. 14. Has one or more internal inspection tool collected data at the point of the Incident? 14a. If Yes, for each tool used, select type of internal inspection tool and a Most recent year run: - Ultrasonic Most recent year run: - Geometry Most recent year run: - Caliper Most recent year run: - Crack Most recent year run: - Hard Spot Most recent year run: - Combination Tool Most recent year run:	
utilized? 13. Were corrosion coupons routinely utilized? Complete the following if any Corrosion Failure sub-cause is selected A Question 3) is Pipe or Weld. 14. Has one or more internal inspection tool collected data at the point of the Incident? 14a. If Yes, for each tool used, select type of internal inspection tool - Magnetic Flux Leakage Tool Most recent year run: - Ultrasonic Most recent year run: - Geometry Most recent year run: - Caliper Most recent year run: - Crack Most recent year run: - Hard Spot Most recent year run: - Combination Tool Most recent year run: - Transverse Field/Triaxial Most recent year run:	
utilized? 13. Were corrosion coupons routinely utilized? Complete the following if any Corrosion Failure sub-cause is selected A Question 3) is Pipe or Weld. 14. Has one or more internal inspection tool collected data at the point of the Incident? 14a. If Yes, for each tool used, select type of internal inspection tool - Magnetic Flux Leakage Tool Most recent year run: - Ultrasonic Most recent year run: - Geometry Most recent year run: - Caliper Most recent year run: - Crack Most recent year run: - Crack Most recent year run: - Crack Most recent year run: - Transverse Field/Triaxial Most recent year run: - Other Most recent year run:	
utilized? 13. Were corrosion coupons routinely utilized? Complete the following if any Corrosion Failure sub-cause is selected A Question 3) is Pipe or Weld. 14. Has one or more internal inspection tool collected data at the point of the Incident? 14a. If Yes, for each tool used, select type of internal inspection tool - Magnetic Flux Leakage Tool Most recent year run: - Ultrasonic Most recent year run: - Geometry Most recent year run: - Caliper Most recent year run: - Crack Most recent year run: - Crack Most recent year run: - Combination Tool Most recent year run: - Transverse Field/Triaxial Most recent year run: - Other Most recent year run: - Transverse Field/Triaxial	
utilized? 13. Were corrosion coupons routinely utilized? Complete the following if any Corrosion Failure sub-cause is selected A Question 3) is Pipe or Weld. 14. Has one or more internal inspection tool collected data at the point of the Incident? 14a. If Yes, for each tool used, select type of internal inspection tool - Magnetic Flux Leakage Tool Most recent year run: - Ultrasonic Most recent year run: - Geometry Most recent year run: - Caliper Most recent year run: - Crack Most recent year run: - Crack Most recent year run: - Combination Tool Most recent year run: - Transverse Field/Triaxial Most recent year run: - Other Most recent year run: - Transverse Field/Triaxial Most recent year run: - Other Most recent year run: - Other Most recent year run: - Transverse Field/Triaxial	
utilized? 13. Were corrosion coupons routinely utilized? Complete the following if any Corrosion Failure sub-cause is selected A Question 3) is Pipe or Weld. 14. Has one or more internal inspection tool collected data at the point of the Incident? 14a. If Yes, for each tool used, select type of internal inspection tool - Magnetic Flux Leakage Tool Most recent year run: - Ultrasonic Most recent year run: - Geometry Most recent year run: - Caliper Most recent year run: - Crack Most recent year run: - Crack Most recent year run: - Combination Tool Most recent year run: - Transverse Field/Triaxial Most recent year run: - Other Most recent year run: - Transverse Field/Triaxial Most recent year run: - Other Most recent year run: - Other Most recent year run: - Transverse Field/Triaxial Most recent year run: - Other	
utilized? 13. Were corrosion coupons routinely utilized? Complete the following if any Corrosion Failure sub-cause is selected A Question 3) is Pipe or Weld. 14. Has one or more internal inspection tool collected data at the point of the Incident? 14a. If Yes, for each tool used, select type of internal inspection tool - Magnetic Flux Leakage Tool Most recent year run: - Ultrasonic Most recent year run: - Geometry Most recent year run: - Caliper Most recent year run: - Crack Most recent year run: - Crack Most recent year run: - Combination Tool Most recent year run: - Transverse Field/Triaxial Most recent year run: - Other Most recent year run: - Transverse Field/Triaxial Most recent year run: - Other Most recent year run: - Other Most recent year run: - Transverse Field/Triaxial	
utilized? 13. Were corrosion coupons routinely utilized? Complete the following if any Corrosion Failure sub-cause is selected A Question 3) is Pipe or Weld. 14. Has one or more internal inspection tool collected data at the point of the Incident? 14a. If Yes, for each tool used, select type of internal inspection tool - Magnetic Flux Leakage Tool Most recent year run: - Ultrasonic Most recent year run: - Geometry Most recent year run: - Caliper Most recent year run: - Crack Most recent year run: - Crack Most recent year run: - Combination Tool Most recent year run: - Transverse Field/Triaxial Most recent year run: - Other Most recent year run: - Transverse Field/Triaxial Most recent year run: - Other Most recent year run: - Other Most recent year run: - Transverse Field/Triaxial Most recent year run: - Other Most recent year run: - Other Most recent year run: - Other Most recent year run: - Other Most recent year run: - Other Most recent year run: - Other Most recent year run: - Other Most recent year run: - Other Most recent year run: - Other Most recent year run: - Other Most recent year run:	

 If Yes, and an investigative dig was conducted at the point of the Inc 	cident:	
Most recent year conducted:		
- If Yes, but the point of the Incident was not identified as a dig site:		
Most recent year conducted:		
17. Has one or more non-destructive examination been conducted at the point of the Incident since January 1, 2002?		
17a. If Yes, for each examination conducted since January 1, 2002, recent year the examination was conducted:	select type of non-destructive examination and indicate most	
- Radiography		
Most recent year examined:		
- Guided Wave Ultrasonic		
Most recent year examined:		
- Handheld Ultrasonic Tool		
Most recent year examined:		
- Wet Magnetic Particle Test		
Most recent year examined:		
- Dry Magnetic Particle Test		
Most recent year examined: - Other		
Most recent year examined: If Other, Describe:		
II Ottlet, Describe.		
G2 - Natural Force Damage - only one sub-cause can be picked from	n shaded left-handed column	
Natural Force Damage – Sub-Cause:		
- If Earth Movement, NOT due to Heavy Rains/Floods:		
1. Specify:		
- If Other, Describe:		
- If Heavy Rains/Floods:		
2. Specify:		
- If Other, Describe:		
- If Lightning:		
3. Specify:		
- If Temperature:		
4. Specify:		
- If Other, Describe:		
- If High Winds:		
- If Other Natural Force Damage:		
5. Describe:		
Complete the following if any Natural Force Damage sub-cause is sele	cted	
Were the natural forces causing the Incident generated in conjunction	Cieu.	
with an extreme weather event?		
6a. If yes, specify: (select all that apply):		
- Hurricane		
- Tropical Storm		
- Tropical Storin		
- Other		
- If Other, Describe:		
G3 - Excavation Damage only one sub-cause can be picked from shaded left-hand column		
Excavation Damage – Sub-Cause:	Excavation Damage by Third Party	
- If Excavation Damage by Operator (First Party):		
- If Excavation Damage by Operator's Contractor (Second Party):		
- If Excavation Damage by Third Party:		
- If Previous Damage Due to Excavation Activity:		
Complete Questions 1-5 ONLY IF the "Item Involved in Incident" (From Part C, Question 3) is Pipe or Weld.		
Has one or more internal inspection tool collected data at the point of the Incident?	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
1a. If Yes, for each tool used, select type of internal inspection tool ar	I	
- Magnetic Flux Leakage	in maioato most recent year run.	
- Magnetic Flux Leakage Year:		
- Ultrasonic		
	1	

Year:	
- Geometry	
Year:	
- Caliper	
Year:	
- Crack	
Year:	
- Hard Spot	
Year:	
- Combination Tool	
Year:	
- Transverse Field/Triaxial	
Year:	
- Other:	
Year:	
Describe:	
Do you have reason to believe that the internal inspection was	
completed BEFORE the damage was sustained?	
3. Has one or more hydrotest or other pressure test been conducted	
since original construction at the point of the Incident?	
- If Yes:	T
Most recent year tested:	
Test pressure (psig):	
4. Has one or more Direct Assessment been conducted on the pipeline	
segment?	
- If Yes, and an investigative dig was conducted at the point of the Inc	ident:
Most recent year conducted:	
- If Yes, but the point of the Incident was not identified as a dig site:	
Most recent year conducted:	
5. Has one or more non-destructive examination been conducted at the	
point of the Incident since January 1, 2002?	
5a. If Yes, for each examination conducted since January 1, 2002, se	lect type of non-destructive examination and indicate most
recent year the examination was conducted:	
- Radiography	
Year:	
- Guided Wave Ultrasonic	
Year:	
- Handheld Ultrasonic Tool	
Year:	
- Wet Magnetic Particle Test	
Year:	
- Dry Magnetic Particle Test	
Year:	
- Other	
Year:	
Describe:	
Complete the following if Excavation Damage by Third Party is select	ed as the sub-cause.
6. Did the operator get prior notification of the excavation activity?	Yes
6a. If Yes, Notification received from (select all that apply):	T
- One-Call System	Yes
- Excavator	
- Contractor	
- Landowner	
Complete the following mandatory CGA-DIRT Program questions if any	Excavation Damage sub-cause is selected
	- Executation Painage east educe to colocical
7. Do you want PHMSA to upload the following information to CGA-	Yes
DIRT (<u>www.cga-dirt.com</u>)?	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
8. Right-of-Way where event occurred (select all that apply):	
- Public	
- If Public, Specify:	
- Private	Yes
- If Private, Specify:	Private Landowner
- Pipeline Property/Easement	
- Power/Transmission Line	
- Railroad	
- Dedicated Public Utility Easement	
- Federal Land	
- Data not collected	
- Unknown/Other	

9. Type of excavator:	Farmer
10. Type of excavation equipment:	Farm Equipment
11. Type of work performed :	Agriculture
12. Was the One-Call Center notified? - Yes - No	Yes
12a. If Yes, specify ticket number:	441996
12b. If this is a State where more than a single One-Call Center	USAN
exists, list the name of the One-Call Center notified:	Halman /Othan
13. Type of Locator:	Unknown/Other
Were facility locate marks visible in the area of excavation? Were facilities marked correctly?	Unknown/Other Unknown/Other
16. Did the damage cause an interruption in service?	Yes
16a. If Yes, specify duration of the interruption: (hours)	47
 Description of the CGA-DIRT Root Cause (select only the one predor available as a choice, then one predominant second level CGA-DIRT. 	Root Cause as well):
- Predominant first level CGA-DIRT Root Cause:	Other
- If One-Call Notification Practices Not Sufficient, Specify:	
- If Locating Practices Not Sufficient, Specify:	
- If Excavation Practices Not Sufficient, Specify:	T. (1.1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.
- If Other/None of the Above, Explain:	The third party started excavation (USA ticket 441996) before the site was marked by PG&E.
G4 - Other Outside Force Damage - only one sub-cause can be se	lected from the shaded left-hand column
Other Outside Force Damage – Sub-Cause:	
- If Nearby Industrial, Man-made, or Other Fire/Explosion as Primary C	Cause of Incident:
- If Damage by Car, Truck, or Other Motorized Vehicle/Equipment NO	Γ Engaged in Excavation:
Vehicle/Equipment operated by:	
- If Damage by Boats, Barges, Drilling Rigs, or Other Maritime Equipm Their Mooring:	nent or Vessels Set Adrift or Which Have Otherwise Lost
2. Select one or more of the following IF an extreme weather event was a	factor:
- Hurricane	
- Tropical Storm	
- Tornado	
- Heavy Rains/Flood	
- Other	
- If Other, Describe:	
- If Routine or Normal Fishing or Other Maritime Activity NOT Engage	d in Excavation:
- If Electrical Arcing from Other Equipment or Facility:	
KB : M I : IB NOTB I : IF C	
- If Previous Mechanical Damage NOT Related to Excavation:	
Complete Questions 3-7 ONLY IF the "Item Involved in Incident" (from F	PART C, Question 3) is Pipe or Weld.
Has one or more internal inspection tool collected data at the point of the Incident?	
3a. If Yes, for each tool used, select type of internal inspection tool ar	nd indicate most recent year run:
- Magnetic Flux Leakage	ia maioato most rocent year turi.
Most recent year run:	
- Ultrasonic	
Most recent year run:	
- Geometry	
Most recent year run:	
- Caliper	
Most recent year run:	
- Crack	
Most recent year run:	
- Hard Spot	
Most recent year run:	
- Combination Tool	
Most recent year run:	
- Transverse Field/Triaxial	
Most recent year run:	
- Other:	
Most recent year run: Describe:	

 Do you have reason to believe that the internal ins 	spection was	
completed BEFORE the damage was sustained?		
5. Has one or more hydrotest or other pressure test be	een conducted	
since original construction at the point of the Incident?		
- If Yes:		
	t recent year tested:	
	est pressure (psig):	
Has one or more Direct Assessment been conducted	,	
segment?	od om the pipeline	
- If Yes, and an investigative dig was conducted a	at the point of the Incid	ent :
	year conducted:	
- If Yes, but the point of the Incident was not ide	ntified as a dig site:	
	year conducted:	
7. Has one or more non-destructive examination been	conducted at the	
point of the Incident since January 1, 2002?		
	ce January 1, 2002, se	elect type of non-destructive examination and indicate most
recent year the examination was conducted:		
- Radiography		
Most recent	year conducted:	
- Guided Wave Ultrasonic		
Most recent	year conducted:	
- Handheld Ultrasonic Tool	-	
	year conducted:	
- Wet Magnetic Particle Test	,	
	year conducted:	
- Dry Magnetic Particle Test	year conducted.	
·	year conducted:	
	year conducted:	
- Other	voor oondusted.	
Most recent	year conducted:	
	Describe:	
- If Intentional Damage:		
8. Specify:	If Other December	
8. Specify:	- If Other, Describe:	
8. Specify: - If Other Outside Force Damage:	- If Other, Describe:	
8. Specify:	- If Other, Describe:	
8. Specify: - If Other Outside Force Damage:	Use this section to	o report material failures ONLY IF the "Item Involved in
Specify: - If Other Outside Force Damage: 9. Describe:	Use this section to	o report material failures ONLY IF the "Item Involved in RT C, Question 3) is "Pipe" or "Weld."
8. Specify: - If Other Outside Force Damage:	Use this section to Incident" (from PA	RT C, Question 3) is "Pipe" or "Weld."
Specify: - If Other Outside Force Damage: 9. Describe:	Use this section to Incident" (from PA	
Specify: - If Other Outside Force Damage: 9. Describe: G5 - Material Failure of Pipe or Weld	Use this section to Incident" (from PA	RT C, Question 3) is "Pipe" or "Weld."
8. Specify: - If Other Outside Force Damage: 9. Describe: G5 - Material Failure of Pipe or Weld Material Failure of Pipe or Weld – Sub-Cause:	Use this section to Incident" (from PA	RT C, Question 3) is "Pipe" or "Weld." e can be selected from the shaded left-hand column
Specify: If Other Outside Force Damage: Describe: G5 - Material Failure of Pipe or Weld Material Failure of Pipe or Weld – Sub-Cause: 1. The sub-case selected below is based on the follows:	Use this section to Incident" (from PA Only one sub-caus	RT C, Question 3) is "Pipe" or "Weld." e can be selected from the shaded left-hand column
Specify: If Other Outside Force Damage: Describe: G5 - Material Failure of Pipe or Weld Material Failure of Pipe or Weld – Sub-Cause: 1. The sub-case selected below is based on the following Field Examination	Use this section to Incident" (from PA Only one sub-caus	RT C, Question 3) is "Pipe" or "Weld." e can be selected from the shaded left-hand column
Specify: If Other Outside Force Damage: Describe: G5 - Material Failure of Pipe or Weld Material Failure of Pipe or Weld – Sub-Cause: 1. The sub-case selected below is based on the follories - Field Examination - Determined by Metallurgical Analysis	Use this section to Incident" (from PA Only one sub-caus	RT C, Question 3) is "Pipe" or "Weld." e can be selected from the shaded left-hand column
Specify: If Other Outside Force Damage: Describe: G5 - Material Failure of Pipe or Weld Material Failure of Pipe or Weld – Sub-Cause: 1. The sub-case selected below is based on the folloring in the Field Examination Determined by Metallurgical Analysis Other Analysis	Use this section to Incident" (from PA Only one sub-cause wing (select all that a	RT C, Question 3) is "Pipe" or "Weld." e can be selected from the shaded left-hand column
8. Specify: - If Other Outside Force Damage: 9. Describe: G5 - Material Failure of Pipe or Weld Material Failure of Pipe or Weld – Sub-Cause: 1. The sub-case selected below is based on the folloring in the following in the	Use this section to Incident" (from PA Only one sub-cause owing (select all that a	RT C, Question 3) is "Pipe" or "Weld." e can be selected from the shaded left-hand column
Specify: - If Other Outside Force Damage: 9. Describe: G5 - Material Failure of Pipe or Weld Material Failure of Pipe or Weld – Sub-Cause: 1. The sub-case selected below is based on the folloring in the following in t	Use this section to Incident" (from PA Only one sub-cause owing (select all that a	RT C, Question 3) is "Pipe" or "Weld." e can be selected from the shaded left-hand column
8. Specify: - If Other Outside Force Damage: 9. Describe: G5 - Material Failure of Pipe or Weld Material Failure of Pipe or Weld – Sub-Cause: 1. The sub-case selected below is based on the follor - Field Examination - Determined by Metallurgical Analysis - Other Analysis - If "Other - Sub-cause is Tentative or Suspected; Still Under (Supplemental Report required)	Use this section to Incident" (from PA Only one sub-cause wing (select all that a	RT C, Question 3) is "Pipe" or "Weld." e can be selected from the shaded left-hand column
8. Specify: - If Other Outside Force Damage: 9. Describe: G5 - Material Failure of Pipe or Weld Material Failure of Pipe or Weld – Sub-Cause: 1. The sub-case selected below is based on the follor – Field Examination - Determined by Metallurgical Analysis - Other Analysis - If "Other – Sub-cause is Tentative or Suspected; Still Under (Supplemental Report required) - If Construction-, Installation- or Fabrication- relation-	Use this section to Incident" (from PA Only one sub-cause wing (select all that a	RT C, Question 3) is "Pipe" or "Weld." e can be selected from the shaded left-hand column
8. Specify: - If Other Outside Force Damage: 9. Describe: G5 - Material Failure of Pipe or Weld Material Failure of Pipe or Weld – Sub-Cause: 1. The sub-case selected below is based on the follor – Field Examination - Determined by Metallurgical Analysis - Other Analysis - If "Other – Sub-cause is Tentative or Suspected; Still Under (Supplemental Report required) - If Construction-, Installation- or Fabrication- relation- relation- supplemental Report (Select all that apply)	Use this section to Incident" (from PA Only one sub-cause wing (select all that a	RT C, Question 3) is "Pipe" or "Weld." e can be selected from the shaded left-hand column
8. Specify: - If Other Outside Force Damage: 9. Describe: G5 - Material Failure of Pipe or Weld Material Failure of Pipe or Weld – Sub-Cause: 1. The sub-case selected below is based on the follor – Field Examination - Determined by Metallurgical Analysis - Other Analysis - If "Other – Sub-cause is Tentative or Suspected; Still Under (Supplemental Report required) - If Construction-, Installation- or Fabrication- relation-	Use this section to Incident" (from PA Only one sub-cause wing (select all that a se	RT C, Question 3) is "Pipe" or "Weld." e can be selected from the shaded left-hand column
8. Specify: - If Other Outside Force Damage: 9. Describe: G5 - Material Failure of Pipe or Weld Material Failure of Pipe or Weld – Sub-Cause: 1. The sub-case selected below is based on the follor. - Field Examination - Determined by Metallurgical Analysis - Other Analysis - If "Other. - Sub-cause is Tentative or Suspected; Still Under (Supplemental Report required) - If Construction-, Installation- or Fabrication- related: 2. List contributing factors: (select all that apply) - If Fatigue or Vibration related:	Use this section to Incident" (from PA Only one sub-cause owing (select all that a s	RT C, Question 3) is "Pipe" or "Weld." e can be selected from the shaded left-hand column
8. Specify: - If Other Outside Force Damage: 9. Describe: G5 - Material Failure of Pipe or Weld Material Failure of Pipe or Weld – Sub-Cause: 1. The sub-case selected below is based on the follor. - Field Examination - Determined by Metallurgical Analysis - Other Analysis - If "Other. - Sub-cause is Tentative or Suspected; Still Under (Supplemental Report required) - If Construction-, Installation- or Fabrication- related: 2. List contributing factors: (select all that apply) - If Fatigue or Vibration related:	Use this section to Incident" (from PA Only one sub-cause wing (select all that a se	RT C, Question 3) is "Pipe" or "Weld." e can be selected from the shaded left-hand column
8. Specify: - If Other Outside Force Damage: 9. Describe: G5 - Material Failure of Pipe or Weld Material Failure of Pipe or Weld – Sub-Cause: 1. The sub-case selected below is based on the follor. - Field Examination - Determined by Metallurgical Analysis - Other Analysis - If "Other. - Sub-cause is Tentative or Suspected; Still Under (Supplemental Report required) - If Construction-, Installation- or Fabrication- related: 2. List contributing factors: (select all that apply) - If Fatigue or Vibration related:	Use this section to Incident" (from PA Only one sub-cause owing (select all that a s	RT C, Question 3) is "Pipe" or "Weld." e can be selected from the shaded left-hand column
8. Specify: - If Other Outside Force Damage: 9. Describe: G5 - Material Failure of Pipe or Weld Material Failure of Pipe or Weld – Sub-Cause: 1. The sub-case selected below is based on the follor. - Field Examination - Determined by Metallurgical Analysis - Other Analysis - If "Other - Sub-cause is Tentative or Suspected; Still Under (Supplemental Report required) - If Construction-, Installation- or Fabrication- related: - If Fatigue or Vibration related:	Use this section to Incident" (from PA Only one sub-cause owing (select all that a s	RT C, Question 3) is "Pipe" or "Weld." e can be selected from the shaded left-hand column
8. Specify: - If Other Outside Force Damage: 9. Describe: G5 - Material Failure of Pipe or Weld Material Failure of Pipe or Weld – Sub-Cause: 1. The sub-case selected below is based on the follor. - Field Examination - Determined by Metallurgical Analysis - Other Analysis - If "Other - Sub-cause is Tentative or Suspected; Still Under (Supplemental Report required) - If Construction-, Installation- or Fabrication- related: - If Fatigue or Vibration related:	Use this section to Incident" (from PA Only one sub-cause owing (select all that a s	e can be selected from the shaded left-hand column pply):
8. Specify: - If Other Outside Force Damage: 9. Describe: G5 - Material Failure of Pipe or Weld Material Failure of Pipe or Weld – Sub-Cause: 1. The sub-case selected below is based on the follor. - Field Examination - Determined by Metallurgical Analysis - Other Analysis - If "Other - Sub-cause is Tentative or Suspected; Still Under (Supplemental Report required) - If Construction-, Installation- or Fabrication- related: - If Fatigue or Vibration related: - Mechanical Stress - Other	Use this section to Incident" (from PA Only one sub-cause owing (select all that a s	e can be selected from the shaded left-hand column pply):
8. Specify: - If Other Outside Force Damage: 9. Describe: G5 - Material Failure of Pipe or Weld Material Failure of Pipe or Weld – Sub-Cause: 1. The sub-case selected below is based on the follor. - Field Examination - Determined by Metallurgical Analysis - Other Analysis - If "Other. - Sub-cause is Tentative or Suspected; Still Under (Supplemental Report required) - If Construction-, Installation- or Fabrication- related: - If Fatigue or Vibration related: - Mechanical Stress - Other. - If Original Manufacturing-related (NOT girth weld)	Use this section to Incident" (from PA Only one sub-cause owing (select all that a s	e can be selected from the shaded left-hand column pply):
8. Specify: - If Other Outside Force Damage: 9. Describe: G5 - Material Failure of Pipe or Weld Material Failure of Pipe or Weld – Sub-Cause: 1. The sub-case selected below is based on the follor. - Field Examination - Determined by Metallurgical Analysis - Other Analysis - If "Other. - Sub-cause is Tentative or Suspected; Still Under (Supplemental Report required) - If Construction-, Installation- or Fabrication- related: - If Fatigue or Vibration related: - Mechanical Stress - Other - If Original Manufacturing-related (NOT girth weld) - If Fatigue or Vibration related:	Use this section to Incident" (from PA Only one sub-cause owing (select all that a s	e can be selected from the shaded left-hand column pply):
8. Specify: - If Other Outside Force Damage: 9. Describe: G5 - Material Failure of Pipe or Weld Material Failure of Pipe or Weld – Sub-Cause: 1. The sub-case selected below is based on the follor. - Field Examination - Determined by Metallurgical Analysis - Other Analysis - If "Other. - Sub-cause is Tentative or Suspected; Still Under (Supplemental Report required) - If Construction-, Installation- or Fabrication- related: - If Fatigue or Vibration related: - Mechanical Stress - Other - If Original Manufacturing-related (NOT girth weld) 2. List contributing factors: (select all that apply) - If Fatigue or Vibration related:	Use this section to Incident" (from PA Only one sub-cause owing (select all that a section in the section in th	e can be selected from the shaded left-hand column pply):
8. Specify: - If Other Outside Force Damage: 9. Describe: G5 - Material Failure of Pipe or Weld Material Failure of Pipe or Weld – Sub-Cause: 1. The sub-case selected below is based on the follor. - Field Examination - Determined by Metallurgical Analysis - Other Analysis - If "Other - Sub-cause is Tentative or Suspected; Still Under (Supplemental Report required) - If Construction-, Installation- or Fabrication- related: - If Fatigue or Vibration related: - Mechanical Stress - Other - If Original Manufacturing-related (NOT girth weld) - If Fatigue or Vibration related: - Mechanical Stress	Use this section to Incident" (from PA Only one sub-cause owing (select all that a s	e can be selected from the shaded left-hand column pply):
8. Specify: - If Other Outside Force Damage: 9. Describe: G5 - Material Failure of Pipe or Weld Material Failure of Pipe or Weld – Sub-Cause: 1. The sub-case selected below is based on the follor. - Field Examination - Determined by Metallurgical Analysis - Other Analysis - If "Other - Sub-cause is Tentative or Suspected; Still Under (Supplemental Report required) - If Construction-, Installation- or Fabrication- related: - Mechanical Stress - Other - If Original Manufacturing-related (NOT girth weld) - If Fatigue or Vibration related: - Mechanical Stress - Other	Use this section to Incident" (from PA Only one sub-cause owing (select all that a s	e can be selected from the shaded left-hand column pply):

lf

- If Environmental Cracking-related:	
3. Specify:	
- If Other, Describe:	
Complete the following if any Material Failure of Pipe or Weld sub-cause	se is selected.
4. Additional Factors (select all that apply):	
- Dent	
- Gouge	
- Pipe Bend	
- Arc Burn	
- Crack - Lack of Fusion	
- Lack of Pusion - Lamination	
- Buckle	
- Wrinkle	
- Misalignment	
- Burnt Steel	
- Other	
- If Other, Describe:	
5. Has one or more internal inspection tool collected data at the point of	
the Incident? 5a. If Yes, for each tool used, select type of internal inspection tool are	nd indicate most recent year run:
- Magnetic Flux Leakage	nd indicate most recent year run.
- Magnetic Flux Leakage Most recent year run:	
- Ultrasonic	
- Ottrasoriic Most recent year run:	
•	
- Geometry	
Most recent year run:	
- Caliper	
Most recent year run:	
- Crack	
Most recent year run:	
- Hard Spot	
Most recent year run:	
- Combination Tool	
Most recent year run:	
- Transverse Field/Triaxial	
Most recent year run:	
- Other	
Most recent year run:	
Describe:	
6. Has one or more hydrotest or other pressure test been conducted since	
original construction at the point of the Incident? - If Yes:	
Most recent year tested:	
Test pressure (psig):	
7. Has one or more Direct Assessment been conducted on the pipeline	
segment?	
 If Yes, and an investigative dig was conducted at the point of the Incidental 	ent:
Most recent year conducted:	
- If Yes, but the point of the Incident was not identified as a dig site:	
Most recent year conducted: 8. Has one or more non-destructive examination(s) been conducted at	
the point of the Incident since January 1,2002?	
8a. If Yes, for each examination conducted since January 1, 2002, se	elect type of non-destructive examination and indicate most
recent year the examination was conducted:	
- Radiography	
Most recent year conducted:	
- Guided Wave Ultrasonic	
Most recent year conducted:	
- Handheld Ultrasonic Tool	
Most recent year conducted:	
- Wet Magnetic Particle Test	
Most recent year conducted:	
- Dry Magnetic Particle Test	

Most recent year conducted:		
- Other		
Most recent year conducted:		
Describe:		
G6 - Equipment Failure - only one sub-cause can be selected from the shaded left-hand column		
Equipment Failure – Sub-Cause:		
- If Malfunction of Control/Relief Equipment:		
1. Specify:		
- Control Valve		
- Instrumentation		
- SCADA - Communications		
- Block Valve		
- Check Valve		
- Relief Valve		
- Power Failure		
- Stopple/Control Fitting		
- Pressure Regulator		
- ESD System Failure - Other		
- Other - If Other, Describe:		
- If Compressor or Compressor-related Equipment:		
Specify:		
- If Other, Describe:		
- If Threaded Connection/Coupling Failure:		
3. Specify:		
- If Other, Describe:		
- If Non-threaded Connection Failure:		
4. Specify:		
- If Other, Describe:		
- If Defective or Loose Tubing or Fitting:		
If Failure of Equipment Body (expent Compressor) Vessel Blate or	athor Matarial	
- If Failure of Equipment Body (except Compressor), Vessel Plate, or	other Material:	
- If Other Equipment Failure:		
5. Describe:		
Complete the fellowing if any Environment Fellows sub-course is calculated		
Complete the following if any Equipment Failure sub-cause is selected		
6. Additional factors that contributed to the equipment failure (select all the	at apply)	
- Excessive vibration		
- Overpressurization		
- No support or loss of support		
- Manufacturing defect		
- Loss of electricity		
- Improper installation		
- Mismatched items (different manufacturer for tubing and tubing		
fittings)		
- Dissimilar metals		
- Breakdown of soft goods due to compatibility issues with		
transported gas/fluid		
- Valve vault or valve can contributed to the release		
- Alarm/status failure		
- Misalignment		
- Thermal stress		
- Other		
- If Other, Describe:		
G7 - Incorrect Operation - only one sub-cause can be selected from the shaded left-hand column		
Incorrect Operation – Sub-Cause:		
- If Damage by Operator or Operator's Contractor NOT Related to Ex	cavation and NOT due to Motorized Vehicle/Equipment	
Damage:		

- If Underground Gas Storage, Pressure Vessel, or Cavern Allowed o	r Caused to Overpressure:	
1. Specify:		
- If Other, Describe:		
- If Valve Left or Placed in Wrong Position, but NOT Resulting in an C	Overpressure:	
- If Pipeline or Equipment Overpressured:		
- If Equipment Not Installed Properly:		
- If Wrong Equipment Specified or Installed:		
- If Other Incorrect Operation:		
2. Describe:		
Complete the following if any Incorrect Operation sub-cause is selecte	d.	
3. Was this Incident related to: (select all that apply)		
- Inadequate procedure		
- No procedure established		
- Failure to follow procedure		
- Other:		
- If Other, Describe:		
4. What category type was the activity that caused the Incident:		
5. Was the task(s) that led to the Incident identified as a covered task in your Operator Qualification Program?		
5a. If Yes, were the individuals performing the task(s) qualified for		
the task(s)?		
G8 - Other Incident Cause - only one sub-cause can be selected from	om the shaded left-hand column	
Other Incident Cause – Sub-Cause:		
- If Miscellaneous:		
1. Describe:		
- If Unknown:		
2. Specify:		

PART - H NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION OF THE INCIDENT

At 0732 hours on Oct. 24, 2014, PG&E was informed of a third-party dig in near Wible Road and Houghton Road in Bakersfield. A third party furrowing a field struck transmission line L-300A causing an unintentional release of natural gas. L-300A is a 34-inch line located downstream of Mile Point 270.85, During the incident, valves at MP 270.85 and MP278.70 were closed and the blowoff valves (at both locations) were opened to expedite depressurizing of approximately 8 miles of the pipe. The flow of gas stopped at 1151 hours. The Fire Department established an 8 squaremile exclusion zone around the dig-in area and evacuated two elementary schools. No injuries, no fatalities, and no ignition occurred during the incident. Kern County Fire lifted the exclusion zone at about 1230 hours. Environmental health and hazardous materials personnel monitored the air quality for approximately 30 minutes after the gas was shut in and deemed it was safe to lift the order. Traffic control was conducted by the California Highway Patrol and Kern County Sheriff's office. Transmission Line L-312, which is tapped off of this section of L-300A and serves roughly 100 customers, was also isolated but due to line pack the customers were not affected. Three commercial customers lost service during the incident: Texaco, Vulcan Materials, and Industrial Oil and Asphalt. By 0900 hours on Oct. 26, Vulcan and Ridgeline Oil and Asphalt were returned to service. Line 312 and Texaco were returned to service at approximately 1100 hours on Oct. 26. Repairs were made by replacing the damaged portion of pipeline with pre-tested pipe, successful radiographic examinations of the welds were made, and the approximate 8 miles of L-300A was purged and repressurized with natural gas prior to 0145 hours on Oct. 26, 2014. The third party started excavation (USA ticket 441996) before the site was marked by PG&E. The incident was reported to the CPUC and DOT because damages exceed \$50,000.

PART I - PREPARER AND AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE	
Preparer's Name	Wini Chen
Preparer's Title	Program Manager
Preparer's Telephone Number	925-328-5798
Preparer's E-mail Address	wcce@pge.com
Preparer's Facsimile Number	
Authorized Signature's Name	Larry Deniston

Authorized Signature Title	Manager of Regulatory Compliance
Authorized Signature Telephone Number	925-328-5756
Authorized Signature Email	LCD1@pge.com
Date	11/21/2014